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Harlem

By Langston Hughes, 1951

What happens to a dream deferred?
Does it dry up
like a raisin in the sun?
Or fester like a sore—
And then run?
Does it stink like rotten meat?
Or crust and sugar over—
like a syrupy sweet?

Maybe it just sags
like a heavy load.

Or does it explode?
The Fortran Automatic Coding System for the IBM 704, the first programmer's reference manual for Fortran
(Public Domain)

https://cdm16107.contentdm.oclc.org/digital/collection/p15388coll1/id/526
“Fortran is a new and exciting language used by programmers to communicate with computers. It is exciting as it is the wave of the future.”

Character of Dorothy Vaughan, a NASA mathematician and programmer, as played by Octavia Spencer in *Hidden Figures* (20th Century Fox, 2016).
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1977 Turing Award Lecture:
“Can Programming be Liberated from the von Neumann Style? A Functional Style and Its Algebra of Programs”

Backus, J., Communications of the ACM, August 1978, 21:8
Rumors of Fortran's Demise…

2 Programming Alternatives

In 1984, McGraw noted that by all indications future supercomputers would be multiprocessors. Today, most supercomputer users and vendors agree. But can programmers take advantage of the horse-

model to the imperative model of Fortran? To begin, we list the desired characteristics of a true parallel programming language [1]:

1. The language must insulate the programmer from the underlying machine. Deriving and expressing a parallel algorithm is hard enough; one should not have to reprogram it for each new machine.

2. Parallelism must be implicit in the semantics of the language. The compilation system should not have to unravel the behavior of the computation.

3. When a programmer desires determinacy, the language should guarantee it. Regardless of the conditions of execution, a program that realizes a determinate algorithm should yield the same results for the same data.

Of the three items, the last is an issue only when automatic parallelizing compilers are not available and the programmer is responsible for expressing and managing parallelism. Programmers will make mistakes, and those mistakes may remain hidden until system activity changes the rate of execution. This is all we will say about determinacy, as most parallel machines support automatic parallelizing compilers.

Regarding the first two items, however, imperative languages fail to meet the requirements. Remember that languages like Fortran were designed to exploit von Neumann machines. As such their computational model assumes that a single program counter will step
Or a Roadmap for Fortran’s Future?
Overview

From Software Archaeology to Software Modernity

01 Background
02 Motivation
03 Parallelism in Fortran 2023
04 AI

05 HPC
06 Ruminations
Explicit Parallelsim in Fortran 2023

Single Program Multiple Data (SPMD) parallel execution
   — Synchronized launch of multiple “images” (process/threads/ranks)
   — Asynchronous execution except where program explicitly synchronizes
   — Error termination or synchronized normal termination

```fortran
1  program main
2      implicit none
3  print *, "Hello from image ", this_image(), "of", num_images()
4  end program
```
1. After the creation of a fixed number of images, each image’s first “segment” (sequence of statements) executes.
2. Image control statements totally order segments executed by a single image and partially order segments executed by separate images.
Partitioned Global Address Space (PGAS)

Coarrays:

— Distributed data structures — greeting
— Facilitate Remote Memory Access (RMA) — line 15

```fortran
1 program main
2   ! One-sided communication of distributed greetings
3   implicit none
4   integer, parameter :: max_greeting_length=64, writer = 1
5   integer image
6   character(len=max_greeting_length) :: greeting[*] ! scalar coarray
7   associate(me => this_image(), ni=>num_images())
8     write(greeting,*), "Hello from image",me,"of",ni ! local (no "[]")
9     sync all ! image control
10    if (me == writer) then
11       do image = 1, ni
12          print *, greeting[image] ! one-sided communication: "get"
13       end do
14    end if
15   end associate
16 end program
```
Additional Parallel Features

- Teams of images can be formed at runtime.
- Collective subroutines: co_{broadcast, sum, max, min, reduce}
- Atomic subroutines:
  - atomic_{define, ref, add, fetch_add, ...}
  - Events: counting semaphores with post/wait/query operations
- Failed/stopped image detection, locks, critical sections, …
Coarray Fortran began as a syntactically small extension to Fortran 95:
— Square-bracketed “cosubscripts” distribute & communicate data
Integration with other features:
— Array programming: colon subscripts
— OOP: distributed objects
Minimally invasive:
— Drop brackets when not communicating
Communication is explicit:
— Use brackets when communicating
PRIF

- Enable a compiler to target multiple implementations of PRIF
  - i.e. enable a vendor to supply their own parallel runtime
- Enable a PRIF implementation to be used by multiple compilers
- Isolate a compiler’s support of the parallel features of the language from any particular details of the communication infrastructure
- Our group’s experience with UPC and OpenCoarrays has shown this to be valuable
Caffeine

Co-Array Fortran Framework of Efficient Interfaces to Network Environments

Caffeine supports the parallel features of Fortran 2018 for compilers.

Caffeine leverages GASNet-EX, a high-performance networking middleware that undergirds a broad ecosystem of languages, libraries, frameworks, and applications.
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Implicit Parallelism

In addition to the SPMD/PGAS features that work in shared or distributed memory, several features facilitate expressing unordered sets of calculations amenable to multithreading, vectorization, or accelerator offloading:

```fortran
  do concurrent row, col
    integer, parameter :: window=4, time=1
    associate(rows => size(distance%body,1), cols => size(distance%body,2))
    do concurrent(row=1:rows, col=1:cols)
      associate(first_row => max(1, row-window), last_row => min(row+window, rows))
      distance%body[row,col] = minval(hypot( &
       this%body(first_row:last_row, time) - rhs%body(row, time), &
       this%body(first_row:last_row, col) - rhs%body(row, col) &
     ))
    end associate
  end do
end associate
```

where(rhs_filtered/=0._rkind)

```fortran
  distance%body = distance%body/rhs_filtered
derivs(where) = derivs(where)/rhs_filtered
derivs(rhs_filtered) = 1/rhs_filtered
```

where statement

Array statements + elemental procedures (intrinsic or user-defined):
matmul, reduce, transpose, dot_product, merge, pack, unpack, count, any, all, findloc, ...
Inference-Engine

Use case:
- Large-batch, concurrent inference and *in situ* training of neural networks for high-performance computing applications in modern Fortran.

Goals:
- To explore language-based parallelism, including GPU offloading.
- To simplify the workflow for training neural networks, i.e., eliminate the telephone game.

How:
- A functional programming style that facilitates concurrent inference across a large collection of inputs using multiple specialized neural networks.
- A training algorithm that squeezes out most unnecessary programmer-imposed ordering of training data into PyTorch and train neural network.
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FASTGPT: FASTER THAN PYTORCH IN 300 LINES OF FORTRAN

March 14, 2023
Authors: Ondřej Čertík, Brian Beckman

In this blog post I am announcing fastGPT, fast GPT-2 inference written in Fortran. In it, I show

1. Fortran has speed at least as good as default PyTorch on Apple M1 Max.
2. Fortran code has statically typed arrays, making maintenance of the code easier than with Python.
3. It seems that the bottleneck algorithm in GPT-2 inference is matrix-matrix multiplication. For physicists like us, matrix-matrix multiplication is very familiar, unlike other aspects of AI and ML. Finding this familiar ground inspired us to approach GPT-2 like any other numerical computing problem.
4. Fixed an unintentional single-to-double conversion that slowed down the original Python.
5. I am asking others to take over and parallelize fastGPT on CPU and offload to GPU and see how fast you can make it.

About one month ago, I read the blogpost GPT in 60 Lines of NumPy, and it piqued my curiosity. I looked at the corresponding code (picoGPT) and was absolutely amazed, for two reasons. First, I hadn't known it could be so simple to implement the GPT-2 inference. Second, this looks just like a typical computational physics code, similar to many that I have developed and maintained throughout my career.

https://tinyurl.com/fastgpt-by-certik
do k=1,lev
   do j=1,lon
      do i=1,lat
         outputs(i,j,k) = inference_engine%infer(inputs(i,j,k))
      end do
   end do
end do

outputs = inference_engine%infer(inputs) ! elemental
Motility Analysis of T-Cell Histories in Activation (Matcha)

A parallel virtual T-cell model.

- Matcha tracks the stochastic T-cell motions according to multiple distributions of speeds and angles, accounting for the dependence of speed on the turning angle and on the previous speed.
- T cells must mount a coordinated attack in order to avoid overwhelming the host tissue.
- The study of T-cell/T-cell interactions remains in its infancy [1].
- Some communication occurs via secreting soluble mediators, e.g., cytokines and chemokines.
- Matcha models mediator spread via a 3D diffusion equation:

\[
\phi_t = D \nabla^2 \phi
\]

where \( \phi_t = \partial \phi / \partial t \).

Heat Equation

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \nabla^2 T \]

\[
\{T\}^{n+1} = \{T\}^n + \Delta t \cdot \alpha \cdot \nabla^2 \{T\}^n
\]

local objects

pure user-defined operators

```
cd fortran
make run-heat-equation
```
Explicitly pure procedures

- Side-effect free: no I/O, no stop, no image control, etc.
- Functions: intent(in) arguments
- Subroutines: specified argument intent
- Deterministic in most cases (Fortran 202X simple removes most non-determinism)

Implicitly pure procedures: elemental

Associate

- Define immutable state by associating with an expression, e.g., function reference.
- Only pure procedures may be invoked inside a do concurrent block.
- Every intrinsic function is pure

Error termination in pure procedures

Variable stop codes

Use objects to encapsulate multiple entities in one function results.

---

```fortran
function functional_matches_procedural() result(test_passes)
    logical test_passes
    integer, parameter :: steps = 6000, n=32
    real, parameter :: tolerance = 1.E-06, alpha = 1.
    real, parameter :: side=1., boundary_val=1., internal_val=2.
    associate( T_f => T_functional(), T_p => T_procedural() )
        associate(T infinity_norm => maxval(abs(T_f - T_p)))
        test_passes = T infinity_norm < tolerance
        end associate
    end associate
contains
    function T_functional()
        real, allocatable :: T_functional(:,:)
        type(subdomain_t), save :: T[*]
        integer step
        call T%define(side, boundary_val, internal_val, n)
        associate(dt => T%dx() * T%dy() / (4*alpha))
            do step = 1, steps
                sync all
                T = T + dt * alpha * laplacian. T
            end do
        end associate
        T_functional = T%values()
    end function
```
Halo Exchange

```fortran
   real(rkind), allocatable :: halo_x(:, :)[:,]
   integer, parameter :: west=1, east=2

   me = this_image()
   num_subdomains = num_images()
   my_nx = nx/num_subdomains + merge(1, 0, me <= mod(nx, num_subdomains))

   subroutine exchange_halo(self)
      class(subdomain_2D_t), intent(in) :: self
      if (me>1) halo_x(east,:,)[me-1] = self%s_(1,:)  
      if (me<num_subdomains) halo_x(west,:,)[me+1] = self%s_(my_nx,:) 
      end subroutine
```
Loop-Level Parallelism

188 do concurrent(j=2:ny-1)
189   laplacian_rhs%(i, j) = &
190     (halo_left(j) - 2*rhs%(i, j) + rhs%(i+1,j))/dx**2 + &
191     (rhs%(i, j-1) - 2*rhs%(i, j) + rhs%(i ,j+1))/dy**2
192 end do
Purely functional parallel algorithms (user-defined operators) operating on distributed objects (derived type coarrays) with automatic GPU offloading via do concurrent.
Compiler Status

Supporting CAF features:
- Cray
- Intel
- GNU
- NAG

Automatic offloading of do concurrent:
- NVIDIA
- Intel
- Cray

LLVM Flang:
- Parses and verifies CAF syntax and semantics
- Does not yet lower CAF features

Berkeley Lab develops
- Frontend unit tests for CAF features
- Frontend bug fixes
- Caffeine: a candidate parallel runtime
- PRIF: a specification
The World’s Shortest Bug Reproducer
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Change Rank</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Python</td>
<td>16.33%</td>
<td>+2.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>9.98%</td>
<td>-3.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>C++</td>
<td>9.53%</td>
<td>-2.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>8.69%</td>
<td>-3.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>C#</td>
<td>6.49%</td>
<td>-0.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>JavaScript</td>
<td>3.01%</td>
<td>+0.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Visual Basic</td>
<td>2.01%</td>
<td>-1.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Go</td>
<td>1.60%</td>
<td>+0.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>SQL</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
<td>-0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fortran</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
<td>+0.46%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The main reason for Fortran's resurrection is the growing importance of numerical/mathematical computing. Despite lots of competitors in this field, Fortran has its reason for existence. Let's briefly check the competition out. Python: choice number one, but slow, MATLAB: very easy to use for mathematical computation but it comes with expensive licenses, C/C++: mainstream and fast, but they have no native mathematical computation support, R: very similar to Python, but less popular and slow, Julia: the rising new kid on the block, but not mature yet. And in this jungle of languages, Fortran appears to be fast, having native mathematical computation support, mature, and free of charge. Silently, slowly but surely, Fortran is making its way back to the forefront. It is surprising but undeniable. --Paul Jansen CEO TIOBE Software
Ruminations

What Happens to a Dream Deferred?

01 Sometimes it sags like a heavy burden.

02 Sometimes it explodes in a segmentation fault!

03 Sometimes it explodes in popularity.

04 Let’s hope the popularity maintains and realizes the dream.

https://www.poetryfoundation.org/articles/150907/langston-hughes-harlem
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Popularity and Use
— Tiobe Index
— NERSC Data
— Open-Source: fpm, Caffeine, Veggies, Rojff
— Growth in Compilers: LFortran, LLVM Flang, ...

Fortran 2023 by Example
— Fusion
— Weather
— Climate
— FFTs, Multigrid, etc.

So what are the Problems?
— Perception
— Geography/Culture
— State of Practice
— State of Compilers
Compiled languages used at NERSC

- Fortran remains a common language for scientific computation.
- Noteworthy increases in C++ and multi-language.
- Language use inferred from runtime libraries recorded by ALTD. (previous analysis used survey data)
  - ALTD-based results are mostly in line with survey data.
  - No change in language ranking.
  - Survey underrepresented Fortran use.
- Nearly ¼ of jobs use Python.

Source: B. Austin et al., NERSC-10 Workload Analysis, 2020, doi:10.25344/S4N30W.
CAF at Scale: Magnetic Fusion

Application focus:
— The shift phase of charged particles in a tokamak simulation code

Programming models studied:
— CAF + OpenMP or
— Two-sided MPI + OpenMP

Highlights:
— Experiments on up to 130,560 processors
— 58% speed-up of the CAF implementation over the best multithreaded MPI shifter algorithm on largest scale
— “the complexity required to implement ... MPI-2 one-sided, in addition to several other semantic limitations, is prohibitive.”

CAF at Scale: Weather

Application:
- European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) operational weather forecast model

Programming models studied:
- CAF or
- Two-sided MPI

Highlights:
- Simulations on > 60K cores
- Performance improvement from switching to CAF peaks at 21% around 40K cores

Application:

— Intermediate Complexity Atmospheric Research (ICAR) model
— Regional impacts of global climate change

Programming models studied:

— CAF over one-sided MPI
— CAF over OpenSHMEM
— Two-sided MPI
— Cray CAF

Highlights:

— “... we used up to 25,600 processes and found that at every data point OpenSHMEM was outperforming MPI.”
— “The coarray Fortran with MPI backend stopped being usable as we went over 2,000 processes... the initialization time started to increase exponentially.”
CAF at Scale: CFD, FFTs, Multigrid

Applications studied:
- Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)
- 3D Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs) used in infinite-order accurate spectral methods
- Multigrid methods with point-wise smoothers requiring fine-grained messaging

Programming models studied:
- CAF or
- One-sided MPI-3

 Highlights:
- Simulations on up to 65,536 cores
- “...CAF either draws level with MPI-3 or shows a slight advantage over MPI-3.”
- “CAF and MPI-3 are shown to provide substantial advantages over MPI-2.
- “CAF code is of course much easier to write and maintain...”